```
did they tell you that they would require you to
 1
    maintain?
 3
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 There wasn't a
 4
    specific time on this. They just -- they called
    and said that they -- we need to be mindful that
 5
    there are regulatory obligations that had to be
 6
 7
    done before we could terminate, and we just
    messaged back we're very well aware of our
 8
    obligations under that.
 9
                  THE COURT:
10
                               That's a bad phone
11
    call; right?
12
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 No.
                                      That's not a
    very good phone call, you're right.
13
14
                  MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, I have
15
    just a couple of questions on redirect.
16
                  THE COURT:
                               We typically don't do
17
    that. Was there something new that was raised.
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                 Just after
18
19
    Mr. Karotkin asked a question and the response, I
20
    wanted to follow-up on that response.
21
                  THE COURT:
                               All right.
22
    BY MR. SHAPIRO:
23
        0.
             Mr. Karotkin asked you whether there was
    over capacity and your response was there was?
24
```

A. Mm-hmm.

- Q. Is that right?
- A. Well, in general, across the network, but

 I think specifically on a circuit by circuit, I

 mean fiber-by-fiber basis, I can't tell you where
- 6 there may be capacity or not.
 - Q. So the network is billed; correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- Q. And the cost of continuing the network is basically maintaining the network; is that right, the network that Winstar would use?
- A. Yes. There's maintenance, obviously, and there's cost associated with maintaining it.
- Q. Absolutely there are costs, but every
 dollar of revenue that you generate from Winstar,
 that's a dollar that you wouldn't see if Winstar
 was off this system; correct?
- 18 A. If we're paid for it.
- 19 Q. Assuming you're paid for it?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Assuming you are paid for --
- 22 A. There would be a dollar revenue.
- Q. Incremental costs are not very great in continuing to maintain that because you otherwise

1 still have to maintain that network whether or not 2 Winstar is still on the network; correct?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

16

- Q. So in trying to answer the question that the judge asked you about what the margins were, it would suggest that the margins are quite high for the services that you provide to Winstar; is that not right?
- A. Well, again, I can't speculate on the pricing that's given to the -- in some comparison to our cost, that's a complete marketing cost analysis that I'm not aware of.
- Q. And how much did you say about per week every week is incurred on the on-net services to Winstar?
 - A. It's -- right now the run rate is about, roughly, 630,000 a week.
- Q. So it's about \$2.4 million a month?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | O. On off-net service?
- 21 A. On-net service.
- Q. On-net services. How much for off net, about a million or so?
- 24 A. No, that was the total. It's about --

```
it's a million total, so 630 plus the hundred, so
1
  350.
2
3
            So on a general run rate, it's about $3
  million a month that Williams would be paid by
5
   Winstar if it was being paid currently?
6
```

- A. No, four million.
- Four million? ο.
- Α. Four million.
- 9 Q. So, approximately, \$4 million?
- That's correct. 10 Α.
- 11 Q. And were you paid in April?
- 12 Α. Yes, I believe so.
- 13 Q. Were you paid in May?
- I believe so. 14 Α.
- 15 Ο. June?
- 16 Α. I believe so. Yes, sir.
- 17 Well, you testified that the only monies Q.
- you have not been paid for so far were, I guess, 18
- 19 the last couple weeks?
- 20 The last -- well, the last couple Α.
- months. 21

7

- 22 The 3.9 I talked about included
- 23 prior month invoices.
- 24 So what month did they stop paying you?

```
1 A. July, August, Septemberish.
```

- Q. Well, when you say not payment, you were rolling \$14 million of it into the DIP; that's
- 4 | what's contemplated?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- Q. It wasn't that they weren't paying you,
 that was contemplated by your business
 arrangement; correct?
- 9 A. I was referring to the off-net charges
 10 that were not paid.
- Q. The off net you're owed approximately seven million and that constitutes a number of months?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. But in total, it looks like you're paid, roughly, \$4 million a month for, five plus or minus months?
- 18 A. Right.
- Q. So you've been paid, approximately, 20 million to date?
- 21 A. That could be accurate.
- Q. And the margins as we talk about to be quite high given the way the incremental cost works?

```
1
                  MR. TURNER: Asked and answered.
    This witness isn't qualified to answer the
 2
 3
    margins.
                  THE COURT: If you don't mind.
 4
 5
                  THE WITNESS:
                                  I don't know the
 6
    answer.
 7
                  MR. SHAPIRO: Okay. That's all I
    have, sir.
 8
                  THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 9
10
    You may step down.
11
                  Any other witnesses in opposition
    for the motion?
12
                  (Silence.)
13
                  THE COURT: All right, then.
14
                                                  We'll
    close the record.
15
16
                  Are there any rebuttal?
                  MR. ALBALAH: I think it's on the
17
    record, if it's not, there's 38 million -- well,
18
19
    there's $38 million representing the purchase
20
    price in McDermott's escrow account now, plus
21
    November another $30 million representing $30
2.2
    million that we've been talking about.
23
                  So McDermott's escrow account is
    holding $68 million.
24
```

```
THE COURT: I think the record is
1
   clear on that.
2
 3
                  Is there any other -- so there's no
   rebuttal?
 4
 5
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                 No rebuttal, Your
            I think at this point the record is closed
 6
   Honor.
 7
   from the Debtors' standpoint.
                  THE COURT:
                               Anything else you want
 8
 9
   to tell me Mr. Shapiro about your motion?
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                 No, Your Honor.
10
   think the only issue with respect to the testimony
11
    that was put on is that the witness did not
12
    testify whatsoever about the bids, about the sale
13
   auction, about the asset purchase agreement, or
14
15
    anything that relates to this sale.
                  The only thing the witness testified
16
17
   to is that Williams is owed money, and that
   Williams has made a lot of money so far.
18
                  Obviously they're owed money and
19
    that is absolutely a fact. And Winstar did not
2.0
21
   pay them that money.
22
                  And we know the other fact is that
    the account is entered into. Williams will begin
23
24
    to be paid again, as the buyer has said in their
```

```
1
    management agreement.
 2
                  That's all we know.
 3
                  MR. JONAS:
                                There is something I do
 4
    want to say.
 5
                  THE COURT:
                                All right.
                  Mr. Jonas, could you identify
 6
 7
    yourself for the record and -- yeah.
                  MR. GWYNNE:
 8
                                 Is the record open
 9
    again, Your Honor? He's going to testify as a
1.0
    witness?
11
                  THE COURT:
                                I assume he's going to
12
    argue as the buyer, unless he wants to testify.
13
    I'm going to get that clear.
14
                  MR. GWYNNE: Because if he's going
15
    to make factual assertions.
                  THE COURT: He will have to be
16
17
    sworn and appear as a witness.
18
                  As a procedural question, Mr. Jonas,
    is there something that you wanted to testify to
19
20
    which would require you being put under oath, or
21
    is there something as the buyers' representative
22
    that you wanted to tell me by way of what we call
23
    argument?
24
                  In other words, if you're trying --
```

```
1
                  MR. JONAS:
                               No, I want to say
 2
    something under oath.
 3
                  THE COURT:
                               Pardon me?
                                  I want to testify to
 4
                  THE WITNESS:
 5
    something.
                  THE COURT:
 6
                               You want to testify?
 7
                  MR. JONAS:
                                I affirm.
                  THE COURT:
 8
                               Let me -- I get the big
    dollars here for that.
 9
10
11
                          HOWARD JONAS,
12
                  the deponent herein, having first
                  been affirmed, was
13
                  examined and testified as follows:
14
15
                  THE COURT: You can take a seat.
16
                  MR. ALBALAH:
                                Your Honor, before
    this starts, could I have a moment with my client?
17
18
                  THE COURT: Yes.
19
                  (Following a discussion held off the
20
    record:)
21
                  MR. ALBALAH:
                                 All right. I just
22
    want to note Mr. Jonas is the chairman of the
23
   Board of IDT Corporation. He is about to make a
24
    statement under oath in terms of the record.
```

```
1
                  Mr. Jonas is not an officer, a
    director, or doesn't have any managerial capacity
 2
 3
    with respect to the buyer. So therefore, I don't
    believe he should be subject to cross-examination
 4
 5
    regarding the buyer.
                  THE COURT:
                               Well, that would be
 6
    something that would be highly unusual, so he will
 8
    have -- if he testifies under oath as to factual
    assertions he'd have to be subject to
 9
    cross-examination.
10
                  MR. ALBALAH:
                                 He is testifying
11
12
    under oath with respect to one very narrow issue
    which I can tell Your Honor now or Mr. Jonas is
13
    competent to tell Your Honor himself.
14
1.5
                  THE COURT:
                               Well, when a witness
    takes the stand, they open up their credibility.
16
                  MR. ALBALAH: May I have one more
17
    moment?
18
19
                  THE COURT:
                                Sure.
                                       Ready,
    Mr. Jonas?
20
21
                  MR. JONAS:
                                Yes. We are trying to
22
    make everybody whole and this was our thinking.
    Our thinking was that in the worst case analysis
23
    if we were to send out termination notices to all
24
```

of the clients tomorrow, hypothetically, and the run rate of Winstar is about \$30 million, the 2 amount of cost that Winstar runs is about \$30 3 million. 4 There will be a good chance that a 5 lot of clients wouldn't pay us because we terminated them. It's very hard to collect money 7 from clients you terminated. 8 So we thought that \$30 million would 9 1.0 be a reasonable amount to put into escrow because that's what we were sort of thinking about doing. 11

But then two things happened. One is that we thought that we would like to try to run the company. We think that we can make the company profitable eventually.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The second thing is the FCC came to us and said that you can't turn people off in 30 days. You might have to spend a longer amount of time so you can send them a notice that you want to turn them off, but they can come to us and they could say that's not fair. You know, we should be allowed to be kept on longer.

And with the GSA in particular, we agree, even though we don't intend to turn them

```
off, we intend to grow the service, but we told
 1
    them specifically that we would give them 60 days'
 2
    notice if we were going to turn them off because
 3
    that's what they asked for.
 4
 5
                  And you know we're not trying to,
    like, make the government have no phone service.
 6
    I mean, we're long-term people in this business
    and there's not one person at that table -- I
 8
 9
    mean, they don't know it because they're the
    lawyers, but I mean except for the one that's
10
    bankrupt, there's nobody at that table that we
11
    don't do millions of dollars' worth of business.
12
    Some of those people we do tens of millions of
13
    dollars of business with.
14
15
                  So I thought that the right thing
    would be instead of putting $30 million in escrow
16
17
    to raise it and put $60 million in escrow. And I
    thought that that would make everybody more
18
    comfortable.
19
                  And we have no intention, you know
20
21
    all of the questions here are, you know, like that
    we're not going to pay the bill or something.
22
    We've been in business for more than 10 years.
23
24
    know it's not the parent company, but we've never
```

There's not one person at that table

that could tell us anything that IDT, you know,

has been defunct or that we've ever had to be

brought to court by any carrier or anything like

that.

So in order to make this thing

easier for the Court, I'm willing to put another \$30 million into -- to infuse another \$30 million into the entity, so that nobody should have to worry about what's going to happen. And that's what I wanted to say.

You know, because my counsel doesn't think that's a very bright thing to say, you know if you're going to get up by only putting 30, why do you have to put in 60, but you know we're going to stick by the commitment we made to the FCC regardless, so what's the difference.

THE COURT: So you're making that escrow cash available to pay your bills and you understand that you're going to prepay them.

MR. JONAS: Yes.

THE COURT: And you understand that

24 | if you don't prepay them, that there may be a

```
- 1
   provision in this transaction that says that the
 2
    folks at that table could come right into court
 3
    and at least, excluding the FCC, cause the Court
    to say that they don't have to give you service
    anymore because you weren't paying them?
 5
 6
                  MR. JONAS:
                               Let me tell you two
 7
    things. First thing, I'm going to pay them.
                                                  Ι
 8
    understand that I'm going to pay them day one.
                  Number two was that this may be a
 9
10
    separate entity, but what the name -- we're the
11
   ninth largest phone company in the country.
   have to deal with the FCC every day on a whole
12
13
    variety of issues, and the FCC person, I don't
    know if she was here before.
14
                  Yeah, the FCC person could testify
15
    that we're in very good standing with the FCC.
16
    comply with everything all over the world.
17
18
    mean, we do all sorts of different things that
    we're required -- that we require their approval
19
20
    for.
21
                  And the last thing I'm going to do
    is like screw around, and like you don't want to
22
23
    have an enemy in the FCC, even if the shell has
    nothing left in it. They could get you back.
24
```

1 THE COURT: All right. Is there 2 any examination by the objectors? 3 MR. TURNER: Thank you, Your Honor. Andrew Turner for Williams 4 5 Communications. BY MR. TURNER: 7 Sir, I'm sorry, I missed your identity Q. for the record. 9 My name is Howard Jonas. I am the 10 chairman of IDT. Okay. So it's my understanding now that 11 Ο. the IDT Winstar acquisition corporation is going 12 to be capitalized with an additional 30 million, 1.3 14 so there will be 60 million in the escrow; correct? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 Do you know how soon the prepayments are 18 going to begin to the carriers? 19 Α. I hope tomorrow. 20 Do you know the amounts that are going to 21 be paid to any particular carrier? Is there a 22 schedule somewhere? I saw the people. Not everybody at 23 Α. 24 Winstar was forth coming in the due diligence with

every single thing we wanted, maybe because they had a big cutback of people and they had a lot of 2 people up there, and they didn't know who was 3 serious and who wasn't serious, and so forth. 5 But my general -- I do know generally how much people are owed. And I do know 6 that your company is owed about \$2.4 million a 7 So I assume that tomorrow we'll send you the check for \$600,000. 9 I also know that you guys are 10 carrying the long distance for Bell South and that 11 we're going to be carrying the international part 12 13 of the business for singular. And that our two companies are meeting to work out the arrangement 14 so that as Bell South hands you the long distance, 15

Q. So it's your testimony that the prepayments will begin either tomorrow or Thursday?

16

17

21

22

risk of that.

- A. As soon as we close the deal.
- Q. And scheduled closing is when?

you give us the international. So we bear the

A. When the judge says we can close. I'm ready to close tonight.

```
Q. All right. And it's your testimony that
the amount to be prepaid to Williams for the first
week is, approximately, $600,000?
```

- A. Approximately, \$600,000. If we're short by \$25,000 the first week because we screwed up, we'll make it up the second week.
- 7 MR. TURNER: Thank you.
- THE COURT: Any other examination?
- 9 BY MR. LADDIN:

4

5

- Q. Mr. Jonas, I'm Darryl Laddin. I
- 11 represent Verizon.
- 12 A. Right.
- Q. Hi. I just have a couple of questions for you.
- 15 A. All right.
- Q. I think you said earlier in your
 statement that at some point in time you changed
 your mind with respect to this acquisition and
 rather than buying it as a company that was going
 to be liquidating, --
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. -- you decided to, I think you said, try
 to run it, try to run the company and make it
 profitable. Is that right?

- 1 A. That is right.
- Q. So you basically want to operate the company as a going concern; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And it wouldn't do that because you think the company will ultimately be profitable and IDT, therefore, will make a profit; right?
 - A. We're not giving charity, yeah.
 - Q. If the company had stopped service prior to today to the company, to its customers, --
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

- Q. -- IDT wouldn't have that opportunity to operate the company as a going concern; is that true?
- 15 A. That's true.
- Q. So the service that Verizon, for example, has provided to date has enabled IDT to have the opportunity to acquire Winstar as a going concern and potentially make a profit; correct?
- A. Can I say a couple of things about that because, I mean, I do see where you're going.
- 22 Yes, I agree with you. Okay.
- But, look, if the sun didn't shine
 this morning, if the sun never shined, I wouldn't

be able to operate my business because it would be
the ice age.

I don't know that I have to send a check to God every day because of like what happened in the past. I mean, I'm coming in at this moment.

The second thing, we're carrying 20 percent of all of your long distance traffic. You can call your office and check. But we deal with the center out in New Jersey that if -- I forget the person. We're carrying at least 20 percent of your business and you guys are committing for us to go like way up above that.

So we're not, you know, hostile people to Verizon. You have told us that you're going to be our largest customer.

I don't -- I don't think that I'm responsible for the debt that was run up prior to being taken -- to being able to take the company over because that made it possible for there to be a company to be taken over because we calculate all that into the price. But there's no way that we can run this business without doing business with you. You know, you specifically.

And I think that you'll make a very 1 good profit on us going into the future, and I 2 think it will more than make up for any losses 3 that you had in the past. 4 5 0. Do you know how much the current monthly run rate is, charges that are owed by the Debtor 6 7 to Verizon? I don't know, but if you tell me it would 8 Α. 9 be interesting. If I told you that it was about a million 10 Ο. and a half dollars a month? 11 12 Α. That sounds about right. And are you willing to prepay to Verizon 13 Q. based on a million and a half dollars a month? 14 15 Α. Yeah. There's no choice. 16 So if I hear you correctly, then, as soon Q. as you close, Verizon would receive a wire 17 18 transfer payment for a week's worth of service? 19 Α. Right. Prepaid? 20 Ο. 21 Right. Α. 22 Based on a monthly run rate of a million and a half dollars? 23

I don't believe

MR. ALBALAH:

```
that's his testimony. Mr. Jonas asked counsel --
1
                  THE WITNESS: It's okay. I
2
   understand the question.
3
                  That's basically correct. I mean, I
   would assume that after a few weeks it's possible
 5
   that we could talk to, you know, the president of
6
   Verizon, like I said, and say, look, we're doing a
 7
   lot of business together, like, do you mind if we
 8
   just send you a check with everybody else.
 9
10
                  I can't imagine, if we're going to
   be in Columbia saying, No, you have to send me a
11
   wire because I don't trust you. But if he does,
12
13
    I'll send you through the wire.
                  MR. LADDIN: I have no further
14
    questions.
                Thank you.
15
   BY MR. GWYNNE:
16
17
       Ο.
             Good afternoon, Mr. Jonas. Kurt Gwynne
   on behalf of MCI WorldCom, Inc.
18
                  MR. GWYNNE: Can I have a document
19
2.0
   marked? I promise it will be brief.
                               I don't have anybody
21
                  THE COURT:
   here to mark it. You can take one of those little
22
    stickers there and stick it on there, right to the
23
    left. I'm sorry.
24
```

```
1
                   (A document was marked as MCI
    Exhibit No. 1 for identification.)
 2
                   THE COURT:
                                Just mark it MCI-1.
 3
                                 Thank you.
                   MR. GWYNNE:
 4
 5
                   THE COURT:
                                And then you could give
    it, when you're finished, to the court reporter to
 6
 7
    append to the transcript.
 8
                   MR. GWYNNE:
                                  May I hand it to the
 9
    witness, Your Honor?
10
                   THE COURT:
                                Yes, you may.
11
                   MR. GWYNNE:
                                 Thank you.
12
                   THE WITNESS: Want me to read this
    whole thing?
13
14
    BY MR. GWYNNE:
15
        Ο.
             Could you please turn to Page 2,
16
    Mr. Jonas?
17
        Α.
             Okay.
18
             Do you see the third full whereas clause?
        Q.
19
             Whereas of the petition date, the MCI
        Α.
20
    accounts have an aggregate unpaid; is that the one
    you're talking about, that paragraph?
21
22
        Q.
             Yes.
23
        Α.
             Right.
24
        Q.
             Can you read that out loud?
```

Hawkins Reporting Service
715 N. King Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302) 658-6697 FAX (302) 658-8418

A. Whereas of the petition date, the MCI accounts had an aggregate unpaid balance in the amount of \$21,300,000. Furthermore, the Debtors' consumption of the service provide service as a result in monthly charges on the MCI account in the amount of \$4,586,406 per month.

- Q. And is the purchaser prepared to make a payment to WorldCom based on that monthly usage at closing?
 - A. Yeah. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And you can call Scott Sullivan and Bernie Ebrose (phonetic), and they will tell you that they will take my word for it.

MR. GWYNNE: Your Honor, I have no further questions, but I would lake to move the admission of this document into evidence as our Adequate Assurance Stipulation signed by Your Honor earlier in the case.

THE COURT: It can be admitted for the purpose of this hearing. It's also admitted if you'll pass it to the court reporter.

MR. GWYNNE: Yeah.

THE COURT: Any other examination

24 of the witness?

1 BY MS. SAWCZUK:

Q. Maria Sawczuk on behalf of Espire

3 Communications.

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

Sir, you testified that you were thinking about running the company. Now, Espire is a small player in this, and my question to you is: Are you planning to continue service with Espire, continue being a customer with Espire?

- A. I don't know what you do, but...
- Q. Espire is also a service provider. We're the one in bankruptcy at this point.
- A. No, I understand, but I'm not sure what kind of service it is that you provide.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. How much -- well, if you tell me what it
 16 is that you provide and what you charge, I could
 17 probably give you a quick answer.
- MS. SAWCZUK: Your Honor, I don't know that I'm able to testify as to what we provide.
- THE COURT: You can tell the witness if you know. Do you know?
- MR. SAWCZUK: I know that we
- 24 provide about, I think it's a -- the run rate is

about 30,000 a week, so -- or no, about 300,000 a month. So we're a small player in this. We're not in the million dollars.

We provide similar services. I think we have a telelocation, but I'm not positive.

THE WITNESS: Let me answer the question in a way that's not complete. In a month, it will take us at least a month to figure out, you know, particularly on something that's \$30,000, a week.

12 BY MS. SAWCZUK:

- Q. No, 300,000 a month is what it is.
- A. 300. That's not going to be the first thing that we're going to look at. So, yes, we are planning to pay you next week and probably for the next month, and probably for the next several months, because I don't think that, you know -- I don't know of anybody that provides service -- I don't know what you provide.
- Q. One thing that may clear it up, I think we only provide service in Tucson -- Albuquerque, Tucson and Dallas. Are you planning to continue service in those areas at this point, to your

```
knowledge?
 1
 2
             At this point, to my knowledge, I think
    so, but we need to go into -- let's say
 3
   hypothetically that there are no customers in
   Albuquerque, that's probably not a good market for
 5
    us. So I would, obviously, you know, pay you and
 6
    tell you that we don't need the service in that
 7
 8
    city if that were the case.
 9
                  In Dallas, a major city, you know,
    so obviously, you know you're going to want to
10
    have the services.
11
                  MS. SAWCZUK: I have nothing
12
13
    further, Your Honor.
                  THE COURT: All right. Other than
14
    how much folks might get paid, any other area that
15
    anyone would like to examine Mr. Jonas on?
16
                  (Silence.)
17
                  THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
18
    Mr. Jonas. You may step down.
19
                  Is there any argument in -- I think
20
    I heard the Debtor and now we've heard the
21
    purchaser. Is there any argument in opposition to
22
23
    the sale motion?
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                 Your Honor, just for
24
```

```
the record, though, I'd just like to make note
 1
    that we were approached by a potential bidder just
 2
    a few minutes before the hearing started.
 3
    wanted to bring Your Honor's attention to it
    because we are considering this bid to make sure
 5
    that we considered any higher or better offers.
 6
 7
                  I just wanted to make sure that Your
    Honor was aware that someone had approached us
 8
 9
    moments before this.
                  THE COURT: Sounds like they have
10
11
    to have an open checkbook.
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
12
                                  I think that's right.
    And based on what they told us in terms of
13
    availability of money, it wasn't immediate in the
14
    sent of Mr. Jonas' company being willing to be
15
    able to fund it all tomorrow at a closing. They
16
    told us they could not close for at least 10
17
    days. They have a check for $5 million.
18
1.9
                  THE COURT:
                               Okay. You don't have
20
    to give me the details. I mean, the sale that's
21
    now proposed is a sale with a settlement
22
    immediately or closing immediately.
23
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                 Right.
24
                  THE COURT:
                               The testimony is that
```

```
this is truly going to be a going concern.
 1
    what I mean, you may want to modify it. Mr. Jonas
 2
    intends to have the company moving forward, not to
 3
    close it down, that would operate the company.
 4
 5
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                  I agree.
                                            I just
    wanted to bring it to the Court's attention
 6
 7
    because I wanted the record to be complete.
                  GUY:
                         So here I am, the potential
 8
    competitive bidder.
 9
                  I will say that I'm very pleased
10
11
    that Howard doubled the amount of money that he
    put in escrow to pay future bills.
                                         That's
12
    certainly a good thing for certainly everybody at
13
    this table. It makes them feel better in light of
14
    the circumstances, and I'm particularly pleased
15
    that he has committed to run the company as a
16
    going concern last night.
17
                  I was particularly concerned because
18
    it was quite clear to me he planned to liquidate
19
    the business relatively rapidly and from my point
20
    of view, that was not an acceptable alternative,
21
    which is why I spent the last 24 hours cobbling
22
    together this bid, which may appear to be
23
24
    inadequate compared to his.
```

```
1
                  THE COURT: I don't mean to
 2
    interrupt you, but could you introduce yourself,
    please.
 3
 4
                  MR. ROUHANA:
                                  Sorry about that.
    Bill Rouhana, R-O-U-H-A-N-A. I'm the former
 5
    chairman and CEO with Star Communications.
 6
 7
                  THE COURT:
                                Thank you.
                  MR. ROUHANA:
                                  And my bid is made on
 8
 9
    behalf of a number of different people. And Mark
    did correctly outline some of the drawbacks in
10
11
    it.
12
                  I do think it has some particularly
13
    different positives that are necessary to be
14
    thought about. Let me just outline it for you
15
    briefly so you know what it is.
                  In essence, it's a $95 million bid
16
17
    accommodation of cash and notes that we're
18
    proposing to make as well as 15 percent of the
    equity of our new company that we want to
19
20
    distribute to existing creditors.
21
                  $30 million in cash would be paid,
    in our minds, primarily to the DIP banks as well
22
23
    as 10 percent of the company.
24
                  We would propose to take -- to
```

```
identify right now or by closing, which would be
1
 2
   relatively quickly, although not as quick as
   Howard's closing, the contracts we would assume.
 3
   And so everyone would know what we were assuming
 4
   and we would pay the prepetition cure payments as
 5
 6
    well as additional -- make an additional
 7
    contribution to some of the past incurrences by
    the administrative creditors.
 8
                  And we would allocate 25 million in
 9
   notes to that, as well as five percent of the
10
    equity of the business.
11
                  Now, our estimate right now of the
12
13
   prepetition assumption is about a little less than
    20 million, although I think we can get that
14
    lower. So $5 million of our 20 million in notes,
15
16
    plus five percent of the equity would be available
    for administrative claimants who were otherwise
17
    not going to be paid in this proposal.
18
                  And then, finally, we would have $40
19
    million working capital available on closing, and
20
21
    I could, in fact, answer most of the questions
22
    that anyone would like to ask about burn rate, et
23
    cetera, having the knowledge of the business that
24
    I do have. And I could -- I think I could
```

```
1
    demonstrate, at least to some degree, that at
 2
    least, in my opinion, the $40 million is enough to
    operate the business the way I would operate it
 3
    going forward.
 4
                  There was a couple of the little
 5
    differences between our bid and the IDT bid and
 6
    that we would not -- we would want to satisfy
 7
    Velocita, PSI net assets. These are three assets,
 8
    which I believe -- assets which I believe the
    Debtor has minimal value attached to, but which
10
11
    have a considerable amount of value to the going
    concern that Winstar would be with our bid
12
13
    accepted.
14
                  Now, the funding for our bids does
    not come from the Far East or Europe or any place
15
16
    other than a very foreign place called New York
17
    City. It is from money that comes from my family
18
   from personal friends who have signed subscription
    agreements as well as a couple of well-known
19
20
    investors who run the Goldman Family Real Estate
21
    holdings.
22
                  And that is supplemented by $30
23
    million. Serbris (phonetic) is a well-known
24
    investor in distressed assets who is providing us
```

with a working capital line that the \$25 million
note would be subordinated to, and therefore would
be behind.

But that money, we have a commitment letter from Serbris, which we could certainly provides to the court we have a five million dollar check sitting in my partner's office in New York, which is available for delivery to Sherman & Sterly. It didn't get cut until I was on my way down here this afternoon, so it hasn't been delivered yet. But it can be delivered immediately.

It's a bank check from a New York bank. I am sure it will be an acceptable bank to the Debtor, and the rest of the money could be put in place along with in time for a closing, I would say, usually five days, but it just happens that we're about five days from Christmas. So I think it would take us about eight or nine days to close.

That is the competitive bid. I do think it is a higher and better offer. While the \$60 million does represent an open checkbook going forward, it really doesn't take into account the

```
1
    charges that have been incurred by the estate
   while it's been in Chapter 11.
 2
                  And we try to address those as well
 3
    as identify the prepetition cure that is needed to
 4
 5
   be made right up front so that everyone is aware
    of where they stand. I think that is in many
 6
 7
    ways, superior to the offer that's on the table.
                  Now, I know the Debtor in the
 8
   hallway said that was not a superior offer, but
 9
    you know, $95 million is, I think, more than 70
10
    million, and I don't know what I'm going to do
11
    with this last 30 that Howard threw on the table
12
    just now. But those are for future bills to be
13
14
    incurred, not for existing ones.
15
                  I would urge you to at least take a
16
    moment and understand our offer, and ask any
    questions, and give us the opportunity to
17
18
    demonstrate our ability to close.
                               All right. Are there
                  THE COURT:
19
20
    any questions?
21
                  MR. GWYNNE:
                                Your Honor, I don't
22
    have any questions, but as one of the carriers who
23
    would presumably receive some of the benefits of
24
    the $21 million note and whatever else, I would
```

```
like the Court to consider this.
 2
                  Maybe we could take a break and have
    an opportunity to talk to him a little further
 3
    about that proposition. It's really our money
 4
    that's being forgotten about in this case, you
 5
 6
    know, for the arrearages that have existed up
    until now.
 7
                  And if someone is willing to pay
 8
    them, we certainly think that, from our
 9
10
    perspective, or at least from my client's
11
    perspective, that may be a better deal, something
    we'd like to explore if we could.
12
                               Anyone else have any
13
                  THE COURT:
    examination?
14
15
                  MR. LADDIN:
                               Darryl Laddin on
16
    behalf of Verizon. We agree with Mr. Gwynne's
1.7
    comment. We'd like to hear more about this
18
    potential bidder.
19
                  THE COURT:
                              Anyone else?
20
                  MR. KAROTKIN:
                                  Your Honor, I'm not
21
    sure exactly what arrearages people are talking
22
    about. And if they're talking about something
23
    other than in connection with cure payments on
    assumed and assigned agreements, I think that my
24
```

```
client has earned title to the first dollars.
1
 2
                  MR. GWYNNE:
                                 There may be more
 3
    dollars that there are in the IDT offer, so it
    sounds like he's interested in considering it as
 4
 5
    well.
                  MR. KAROTKIN:
                                  I didn't say that.
 6
 7
                  MS. NEWELL: Your Honor, I would
    rather not make a comment about this offer at this
 8
    time, but before you close the microphone on the
 9
10
    sale in general, I'd like to make a couple
    comments.
11
                  THE COURT:
                               All right. We can do
12
13
    that. I don't think there's any other comments on
14
    this proposal.
                  There are.
15
16
                  MR. LADDIN: Darryl Laddin on
    behalf of Verizon. In reference to what
17
    Mr. Karotkin had to say, I respectfully disagree
18
    with his view of who would be entitled to initial
19
20
    procedure. Your Honor entered an order under
    Section 366 earlier in the case and that order
21
    specifically provides that no other entity is
22
23
    entitled to a claim that is senior in prior to
24
    Verizon unless Verizon is paid in full.
```

```
1
                  Verizon is owed $5 million in this
    case in administrative charges that remain
2
3
   unpaid. If this man is coming in and offering to
    pay some of those charges, we'd like to hear about
 4
    it.
         That would make a big difference to Verizon.
 5
                  THE COURT:
                               Anyone else?
 6
 7
                  (Silence.)
                  THE COURT:
                               All right. Thank you.
 8
                  Did you want to make your comment?
 9
                  MS. NEWELL:
                                Thank you, Your
10
    Honor. I just wanted to state for the record the
11
    proposed sale order that we have had a chance to
12
13
    read by now for the United States is acceptable
14
    with respect to the proposed sale that we came in
    to court about today.
15
                  The FCC is pleased that it appears
1.6
    that the customers are going to continue to
17
    receive telephone service until they can get a
18
    chance to either transition or go forward with the
19
20
    new purchasing company.
21
                  I did want to state that one thing
    that might not be all that clear in the proposed
22
    order is that one of the terms in the purchase
23
24
    agreement about the sale to the proposed purchaser
```

```
is that any sale would be subject to the FCC
 1
 2
    approval process for assignment of licenses.
    That's in the purchase agreement, and it's
 3
    referenced in the sale order, but I just wanted to
 4
    make it clear for the record that that's something
 5
    that's critical to the United States on having and
 6
    not having an objection to the sale.
 7
                  Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
 9
                  THE COURT:
                                All right.
                                            Thank you.
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                  Just on that point,
10
    the record is clear that any licenses that are to
11
    be transferred that are FCC governed licenses
12
    require the consent of the FCC contract. I think
13
14
    that makes that very clear.
                  MR. KAROTKIN:
                                   First of all, so the
15
    record is clear as to what the gentleman from
16
17
    Verizon said, there is an order entered in the
    beginning of the case approving the IDT loan that
18
    no other creditors could get any liens or claims
19
20
    prior to the DIP loan.
21
                  So this court order is very clear on
    that issue, and that was entered, as I said, in
22
23
    the beginning of the case, so I don't know what
```

But that would

order he's talking about.

certainly have contravened a prior order of this court.

.18

I would also like to note for Mr. Rouhana, you think he's talking about closing in a week to ten days if he's able to get together everything. Perhaps he could state so everybody understands who bears the cost of the operations on keeping the system going pending that week to ten-day period.

THE COURT: Mr. Rouhana, that is the obvious question. I think the other question ought to be the conditions there are to our closing so that everybody is fully aware.

MR. ROUHANA: The way I would anticipate doing it is assuming that the representatives of people that have to make that decision decide our offer was better, I would ask them to work with us for this week or until the time, and I would tell them the conditions of our offer and proposal. And so long as they were voluntarily willing to do it, I would say that that would be my approach to the process.

They would get more. We would pay them from this date forward, but we would need to

close before we paid them as far as the conditions, so that they're fully disclosed.

7 4

There are two conditions to my financing, both of which I view as academic, but necessarily the case. One is that the companies receivables actually exist as represented by the company. I know for a fact that they do, but the lender needs to verify that.

And the second thing is that the inventory that is sitting in our warehouse has to be in accordance with what's represented by the company. I know for a fact that that's the case as well, but our lender needs to verify that as well.

Those are our two conditions. There are no other conditions to the closing.

And so it's really a matter of getting the paperwork done and putting everything in place. We should be able to demonstrate that to everybody's satisfaction, if you give us a few minutes to try to do that. And I think that's the right result.

I recognize that this is an issue, but I think everybody gets more money who has to

```
work with us if they choose to do it voluntarily.
 1
    I don't see why that would be a problem.
                  MR. KAROTKIN: Just for the record,
 3
    there are other costs besides the carrier costs
 4
 5
    over the week or ten days that -- there are
    employee costs. There are a number of other
 6
    costs.
 7
                  I think he already addressed the
 8
                I would also like to point out, Your
    landlords.
 9
    Honor, that, again, under the terms of the order
10
    approving the DIP credit agreement and the other
11
12
    DIP agreement in order for the assets to be sold
    on to any party, it's subject to the consent of
13
    the DIP lenders.
14
15
                  MR. ROUHANA:
                                 I don't know if you
    want to ask them if they want to try.
16
                  THE COURT: Well, let me hear
17
    everybody first and then I'm going to close the
18
19
    sale motion.
                  MR. GARBER:
                                Good afternoon, Your
20
    Honor. Aaron Garber for Cisco. As I was stating
21
22
    earlier, our issues are slightly different. We're
    an equipment lessor to the Debtor. We lease to
23
    the Debtor switches, routers and gateways, which
24
```

```
the Debtors use in the operation of their
 1
 2
   business.
 3
                  And all of this equipment is used on
    software, which is also provided by Cisco. And we
 4
    have a couple concerns with respect to the sale.
 5
 6
                  First, as so many others, the
 7
    Debtors have not satisfied they're administrative
    obligation under 365(d) 10, so we didn't believe
 9
    that they have the right to give this equipment to
    a buyer even for a short period of time until
10
11
    they've met their administrative burdens.
12
                  More importantly, under case law,
13
    you are not allowed to assume and assign
    intellectual property software licenses without
14
15
    the consent of the licensor. And there's two
    things going on with the sale.
16
17
                  First of all, the Debtors have not
18
    sought our consent to assume and assign to --
    basically to assign to the purchaser the software
19
20
    licenses, which runs this equipment. Basically,
    this equipment would be non-functioning without
21
    the software.
22
23
                  So we really have two concerns.
    First of all, there's an issue with respect to
24
```

```
this 120 days that the buyers, just letting them
 1
 2
    use this equipment. We don't know anything about
 3
    the buyers, you know. We don't know if they're a
 4
    competitor, if they should have access to this
    software.
 5
                  We're also concerned that if we
 6
 7
    start liquidating property where exactly our
 8
    license and our software and license, who they
 9
    would be going to.
10
                  MR. JONAS: Can I say something
    about that?
11
                  MR. GARBER:
                               Can I finish?
12
13
                  MR. JONAS:
                               We own -- we're the
    people that make a lot of the Cisco software.
14
15
    We're your partners.
16
                  MR. GARBER:
                                That's what I'm
    saying.
             I don't know if that's true or not true
17
    or how Cisco feels about it.
18
19
                  MR. JONAS:
                             I'll affirm that that's
20
    the case.
                  THE COURT:
                               Sounds like that would
21
22
    be something to discuss in that 120 days, and I
23
    assume that the buyer would pay whatever usage
24
    cost there is while that was flushed out.
```

```
MR. JONAS:
 1
                               That's true.
 2
                  MR. GARBER:
                               That is fine, Your
 3
    Honor.
 4
                  THE COURT:
                               I don't think anybody
 5
    in the courtroom doesn't understand that you can't
 6
    assume and assign the software, but in that 120
    days that all may be worked out. I don't think it
 7
    was a definitive enough view as to exactly what
 8
    the mix will be in the transaction to be able to
10
    decide that today. But the money issue, I think,
11
    is pretty clear.
12
                  MR. JONAS:
                               I will tell you that we
    deal with Cisco all the time and that we're 80
13
14
    percent owners of the -- 20 percent owners and
15
    that's what makes the vipers, all the routers,
16
    so...
17
                               Sounds like he owns
                  THE COURT:
    your software.
18
19
                  MR. GARBER: It does, but...
20
                  THE COURT:
                               So he'll probably make
21
    a pretty good agreement with himself.
                  MR. GARBER: Just so that our
22
    rights are reserved.
23
24
                  THE COURT: I understand.
```

```
it's understood, but I think in that 120 days it's
   probably going to get worked out that they are if
2
    they're the successful bidder.
3
                                 Your Honor, just one
                  MR. ROUHANA:
4
5
    thing.
                  THE COURT: You have that same
6
   problem.
7
                  MR. ROUHANA:
                                 I just want to make
 8
    one comment if you wouldn't mind. My whole point
9
    of being able to identify prepetition contracts
10
    and capital leases that we would assume would
11
    obviate this problem for the software vendors, and
12
    therefore, for the court.
13
                  Because we do know, given our
14
    familiarity with the business, what contracts we
15
    would assume, and therefore, what would need to be
16
    paid.
17
                  THE COURT: All right. Anyone else
18
    want to be heard?
19
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                 Your Honor, I just
20
21
    wanted to respond to a point that counsel for
22
    Cisco Company made. Just for the record, counsel
    suggested that the software likenesses could not
23
24
    be transferred without consent. The Debtors don't
```

```
1
    agree with the software license under the case
 2
    law.
                  And therefore, while I don't know
 3
 4
    what particular software licenses Cisco has, --
 5
                  THE COURT:
                               I assume it's
    patent-licensed property.
 6
 7
                  MR. SHAPIRO: I am not sure.
                                                 I'd
 8
    just reserve our rights to contest it if it ever
 9
    is.
10
                  THE COURT:
                               If it is what he thinks
11
    it is, you would agree that it's not assumable and
12
    assignable.
13
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                  It may require
14
    consent depending on the nature of the license.
15
                  THE COURT:
                               Okay.
16
                  GUY:
                         Good evening, Your Honor.
17
    For the record, Michael Chipman on behalf of
18
    Microsoft.
19
                  First, I want to ask: Do you own
20
    Microsoft?
21
                  MR. JONAS: We own Microphone and
22
   we are the exclusive VIP vendor.
23
                  MR. CHIPMAN:
                                 May I ask a further
24
    question of the purchaser?
```

```
1
                  THE COURT: Yes.
 2
                  MR. CHIPMAN: Are you familiar with
 3
    the licenses that Winstar has with Microsoft?
                  THE WITNESS:
                                  I'm not familiar with
 4
 5
    the specific licenses, but I know we pay like a
    fortune in licenses to Microsoft every month.
 7
                  MR. CHIPMAN:
                                 Do you know what the
    burn rate is for Microsoft at all?
                  MR. JONAS:
                                I don't know, but I
 9
10
    cannot imagine how it could possibly run the
    company without Microsoft. And by the way I can't
11
12
    imagine how we could run it without Cisco.
                  MR. CHIPMAN:
                               Your Honor, just for
13
14
    the record, we do have an objection to the sale to
15
    the extent that the Debtors are trying to sell,
    transfer or assign any Microsoft property,
16
    independent property licensed software.
17
18
                  Obviously, all the computers have
    Microsoft software on them, and we have provided
19
20
    proposed language for the order and didn't know if
21
    the purchaser would be willing to put that in the
    order to protect Microsoft.
22
23
                  It was in our motion.
                                          It basically
    says you're not buying Microsoft software, that
24
```

```
it's either got to be deleted or a new arrangement
 1
    has to be worked out.
                  MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, the
 3
   purchase agreement does not provide for the
 4
 5
    transfer of any executory contracts.
    assume not having read my license agreements, that
 6
 7
    their executory contracts as we all discussed
 8
    during the 120-day period, they will make a
    determination as to whether or not they need that
 9
    software or not.
10
11
                  It sounds like Mr. Jonas believes
    they do, but he hadn't determined that because he
1.2
    doesn't know what it is. And in the meantime he
13
14
    will have to pay whatever it is that -- my charges
    for that software and do it on a prepaid business,
15
    if that's what they require.
16
17
                  THE COURT:
                               The proposed agreement
18
    will, again, flush that out, and if there's a
    dispute, we'll come back.
19
20
                  MR. KAROTKIN:
                                   The proposed
21
    agreement in the order here says the seller, it
22
    says it sells what it owns. If he doesn't own it,
23
    he's not selling it.
24
                  THE COURT:
                                That's what I am
```

```
saying, during this period of time, there will be
1
    that examination. If there's a problem, then I'm
2
    sure it will come back.
3
                  MR. CHIPMAN:
                                 Could the Debtors
 4
5
    tell us how much they've been paying to Microsoft
 6
    weekly? Do they know?
 7
                  THE COURT: A lot of money, I
                 Oh, no, that was for another
            No.
 8
    quess.
 9
    business.
                  MR. CHIPMAN: Our understanding is
10
    they haven't paid anything.
11
12
                  THE COURT: But the objection is
    that they can't sell your software, and that's not
13
    happening. If you have those rights that you
14
    assert, they won't be able to sell it.
15
                  MR. CHIPMAN:
                                 Today they're not
16
    selling any Microsoft software. That has to be
17
18
    worked out later.
19
                  THE COURT:
                              Again, if your position
    is right, that will be worked out later.
20
21
                  MR. CHIPMAN:
                                 I understand, Your
22
    Honor.
23
                  MR. ROSENTHAL:
                                   Good evening, Your
            Edward Rosenthal on behalf of Advanced
24
```

```
Fibre Communications. I would reiterate the
    comments made by the representative from Cisco.
 2
                  We have a very similar situation
 3
    with similar products and software.
 4
                                         We would
    simply reserve our rights as well. It appears
    with our limited objection that we're not
 6
 7
   necessarily directly affected by the sale, but we
    reserve our rights during that 120-day period.
 8
 9
                  Thank you.
                  MS. HARNER:
                                Good evening, Your
10
11
   Honor.
            Michelle Morgan Harner of Jones Day on
   behalf of Lucent Technologies.
12
13
                  Your Honor, Lucent is a secured
    creditor in this case with a secured claim in
14
    excess of $800 million.
15
                             The equipment and other
    assets securing Lucent's claim against the Debtors
16
    constitute a substantial portion of the assets
17
    that the Debtors are proposing to sell here
18
19
    today.
20
                  In fact, Your Honor, Lucent's
21
    interest in those assets are not primed by the DIP
22
    lenders under Your Honor's order.
23
                  Although Lucent doesn't oppose a
    sale of the Debtors assets, they do have certain
24
```

```
concerns. And despite the size of Lucent's claim,
 1
 2
   and despite the fact that Lucent was not included
    even on a consultation basis in the sale process,
 3
   Lucent has cooperated with the Debtors and the
    secured lenders throughout the sale process.
 5
 6
                  To protect its interests, it is a
    secured party in interest in this case, Lucent did
 7
    file a limited objection to the proposed sale.
    The proposed order circulated earlier today, at
 9
10
    least the last draft that I saw, did address some
    of Lucent's issues.
11
12
                  But there were some that were not
13
    addressed. And in particular, Your Honor,
    although I'll be very brief, I would like to raise
14
15
    five issues with Your Honor to consider.
                  First, Your Honor, Lucent asked that
16
    the sale proceeds be escrowed. An order of this
17
18
    court was entered determining all of the rights,
19
    interest, and claims asserted by the secured
20
    parties in the sale proceeds.
                  The proposed order does include
21
22
    language that goes to that comment. It provides
23
    that the sale proceeds will be held until an order
24
    of this court is entered and parties are given
```

1 notice and an opportunity to be heard.

So long as that type of provision is included in the sale order where all parties are given notice and opportunity to be heard prior to distribution of the sale proceeds, Lucent believes that that concern is satisfied.

Second, Your Honor, Lucent noted in its objection that there are certain lab equipment that it owns and certain accounts in which it has a security interest and which were converted into block accounts prior to the petition date that should be excluded from the sale assets in Paragraph 11 of the proposed order.

And again as the last draft that I saw, the lab equipment and the accounts were, in fact, excluded from the sale assets. However, Exhibit A and Exhibit B, which purportedly list the lab equipment and the accounts were not circulated with the proposed order, so I simply would like the Debtors to confirm on the record that the equipment and the accounts to be listed on Exhibit A and Exhibit B to the order would be identical to the equipment and the accounts listed on Exhibits A and B to Lucent's objection to the